EXHIBIT 1

From: Greg Stein.	Sent:3/24/2006 5:01 PM.
To: [-] Andy Rubin. Cc: [-] .	
Boo: [-]	
Subject: Re: Confidential: the open J2ME project.	
The APIs still allow compatible implementations. e.g. Apache Geronimo's implementation of the J2EE specification.	
Which "shared part" are you referring to? Let's assume they'll be able to get the TCK. Then they build the J2ME. And it passes the TCK. What would they need to take from Sun?	
And what can we do to get you, et al, talking with them? It would be great if we could trade info.	
Thx, -g	
On 3/24/06, Andy Rubin <arubin@google.com> wrote: > Ha. Wish them luck. Java.lang apis are copyrighted. And sun gets to say who they lic you to take the "shared part" which taints any cleanroom implementation. ></arubin@google.com>	ense the tck to, and forces
> >	
>Original Message > From: Greg Stein <gstein@google.com> > To: Andy Rubin <arubin@google.com> > Sent: Fri Mar 24 14:22:14 2006</arubin@google.com></gstein@google.com>	
> Subject: Re: Confidential: the open J2ME project > Oh, they have a plan for that. The ability to call it Java(tm) is > "simply" a matter of passing the J2ME TCK, as I understand it. >	
> > On 3/24/06, Andy Rubin <arubin@google.com> wrote: >> I don't see how you can open java without sun, since they own the brand and ip. >></arubin@google.com>	
>> Happy to talk.	
>>	
>> >>	
>>Original Message >> From: Greg Stein <gstein@google.com> >> To: Andy Rubin <arubin@google.com> >> Sent: Fri Mar 24 14:08:29 2006 >> Subject: Confidential: the open J2ME project</arubin@google.com></gstein@google.com>	
>> >> Andy,	
>> >> Chris DiBona said you're the right person to talk to about our J2ME >> plans with Sun. I've recently become aware of a similar effort to >> create an open source J2ME. The problem that I have right now is that >> I can't tell Google about that, and I can't tell them about our >> effort. IOW, without violating confidentiality *somewhere*, there is >> no way for me to make the two parties aware of each others' efforts.	United States District Court
>> >> l've asked them if I can at least mention a small amount to Google. >> Waiting on that reply. Is there any hint or small amount of into that >> I can give them so that we can open the door more?	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA TRIAL EXHIBIT 18 CASE NO. 10-03561 WHA DATE ENTERED
	BY

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY

Oracle America v. Google, 3:10-cv-03561-WHA

GOOGLE-01-00018470

```
> > Thanks,
>> -g
>>
>
```